

2a 44

American Iris Society

May, 1922

No. 5 ✓
SYMPOSIUM


Compiled by C. P. CONNELL

Edited by the Secretary
R. S. STURTEVANT

2.25
CONTENTS

Members of the Jury	2
Notice to Members	2
Foreword, <i>John C. Wister</i>	3
Report of the Chairman, <i>C. P. Connell</i>	4
Instructions to Jurors	5
Introduction	6
Alphabetical List of all Varieties Rated	8
Tall Bearded Irises arranged in order of merit	23
The Relative Value of Varieties of Similar Coloring, <i>R. S. Sturtevant</i>	28
Intermediate Irises arranged in order of merit	30
Dwarf Bearded Irises	31
Miscellaneous Irises	32
Why a Symposium? <i>R. S. Sturtevant</i>	33

Copyright 1922 by The American Iris Society



Members of the Jury

C. P. Connell, Tenn., Chairman

A. C. Army, Minn.
S. Stillman Berry, Calif.
James Boyd, Penn.
Lee R. Bonnewitz, Ohio
Sam. P. Burchfield, Mich.
Mrs. Jennett Dean, Calif.
Bertrand H. Farr, Penn.
Dr. H. C. Glen, Tenn.
C. W. Hubbard, Ill.
T. A. Kenning, Minn.
Mrs. H. G. Lloyd, Penn.

F. B. Mead, Ind.
Mrs. E. P. McKinney, N. J.
Sydney B. Mitchell, Calif.
B. Y. Morrison, Washington, D. C.
Harry A. Norton, P. Quebec
William A. Peterson, Ill.
Charles Phillips, Ohio
W. E. Saunders, Ontario
Grace Sturtevant, Mass.
R. S. Sturtevant, Mass.
John C. Wister, Penn.

Officers

President

JOHN C. WISTER
Germantown, Philadelphia, Pa.

Vice-President

WILLIAM A. PETERSON
30 No. La Salle St., Chicago, Ill.

Secretary

R. S. STURTEVANT
Wellesley Farms, Mass.

Treasurer

FRANK H. PRESBY
Montclair, N. J.

Regional Vice-Presidents

MRS. SAMUEL H. TAFT, Clifton, Cincinnati, Ohio.
T. A. KENNING, 1815 26th Ave., No. Minneapolis, Minn.
SIDNEY B. MITCHELL, Oxford St., Berkeley, Calif.
CLARENCE P. CONNELL, Centennial Park, Nashville, Tenn.
DR. F. E. BENNETT, St. Thomas, Ontario.
I. S. HENDRICKSON, Flowerfield, N. Y.

Directors

JAMES BOYD
Haverford, Pa., (one year)
BERTRAND H. FARR
Wyomissing, Pa., (one year)
DR. H. A. GLEASON
N. Y. Botanical Garden, Bronx
Park, New York City, (two years)
HARRY A. NORTON
Ayers Cliff, Quebec, (two years)
MRS. E. P. MCKINNEY
Madison, N. J., (three years)
MRS. HORATIO GATES LLOYD
Haverford, Pa., (three years)

NOTICE

With January 1, 1922, it has become necessary to charge for Bulletins issued in previous years, in fact the editions of Bulletins 1 and 3 are nearly exhausted. The prices are as follows.

Bulletin No. 1, *The Culture of Irises in the United States.*
To members \$1.00; to non-members \$2.00
Bulletin No. 2, *Garden Irises, Past and Present.*
To members \$.50; to non-members \$1.00
Bulletin No. 3, *Sir Michael Foster Number.*
To members \$.50; to non-members \$1.00
Bulletin No. 4, *Check List.* (published by private subscription).
To members \$.50; to non-members \$1.00
Bulletin No 5, *Symposium.*

Membership List, Jan. 1, 1922.
Free to 1922 members; to non-members \$3.00
Free to 1922 members; to non-members \$3.00

Foreword

John C. Wister

It is a wonderful satisfaction to be able to send this Symposium Bulletin to the members of our Society, for ever since the Society was started we have hoped and planned to do something of this sort. The Society owes a great deal to Mr. Connell for the able way in which he has handled the whole matter, for it is a piece of work of much greater magnitude than most of our members will realize and has involved endless hours of computation.

There will be criticism, of course, about the votes, and doubtless criticism also about the personnel of the jury. I would like to say therefore, that the jury was selected not by Mr. Connell, or by myself, but by five or six of us who judged from the lists sent in on the Informal Symposium, and from our personal knowledge of iris growers and made the final selection. We feel that while it would be better to have a bigger vote, that the ones chosen are representative of the whole country and should be able to give a very fair vote on nearly all varieties.

The votes themselves have been of great interest and the tabulation will repay long study by every member. Five years from now, we will be able to have another symposium which may be more satisfactory as regards varieties of recent introduction. For the present we should abide by these findings.

The votes on some of the varieties have been a great surprise to me, as they will undoubtedly be to others, but the general average I consider very good. One or two cases are probably misleading, I am sure, for instance, that our members have confused *pallida Dalmatica* and *Princess Beatrice*, and I think the whole vote on the first may well mean that many of our members thought they grew it when actually they had one of the many nursery substitutes.

Votes on many of the novelties seem unreasonably high and will undoubtedly be lowered five years hence, nor should these high votes be taken very seriously when only three or four jurors voted as it shows at once that the variety is not well distributed.

I hope the members will study the votes carefully and will send any suggestions and criticisms, in order that we may get a greater consensus of opinion, and I hope also that a number of the members of the jury will be able to visit the test garden at the time of the annual meeting and discuss together the vote while actually looking at the growing plants. In this way some errors may be checked up and many varieties not appearing in the printed symposium receive their proper rating.

Report of the Chairman

C. P. Connell

Bulletin No. 2 contained a short list of names of the better known varieties of irises. The membership of the Society was requested to grade these varieties to form a sort of "informal symposium". The grading was done on a restricted basis and in many respects the results obtained were inadequate.

With this in view, it was apparent that the general membership of the Society was not yet fully prepared to make a complete rating of the whole check list. It was certain also that some effort should be made to establish a standard and thereby control, to a degree, the indiscriminate registration and introduction of "new things", which in too many cases were wholly without merit and lacked even the virtue of being novel. This action was needed to protect the discriminating, careful hybridist and the nurseryman grower as well as the amateur buying fancier. Unless some sort of restraining influence could be brought to bear on the situation the iris-loving folk would soon exhaust their enthusiasm, patience, and their treasures.

Many suggestions were made for controlling registration and introduction, and from some of these suggestions grew the plan for this symposium. It was thought that if a comparative rating could be placed on all varieties, such a rating would serve the hybridist as a basis for establishing the value of his seedlings, would serve the commercial grower as a guide for his foundation stock, and would provide the buyer with a safe guard in making his purchases.

The suggestion was made that fifteen or twenty capable iris enthusiasts be selected to form a sort of jury for judging the merits of varieties now in commerce. From a large list of names, twenty-five were selected and a set of rules adopted for their guidance. Of these twenty-five two declined to serve so that the final number of jurors was twenty-three.

It was determined in the beginning that varieties introduced since 1917 were to be considered in the light of novelties and given a tentative or temporary vote. This decision was predicated on the hypothesis that a judge would not be competent to express a valuable opinion until the variety had been under observation for at least five years. This has proved a wise decision for in spite of the fact that the more meritorious of these novelties are widely distributed, there was perhaps a tendency to over-rate them.

As might have been expected, personal preferences for certain types, influenced certain votes. One juror would rate soft blends uniformly high, another showed a preference for clear selfs, while still another gave a high rating to rich, deep colors. However, these personal idiosyncracies seemed to balance each other and bring about an average conservative vote. Pleasing plant combinations, peculiar growing or soil conditions, and clearly also climatic environment, all contributed to variations in rating. The variegata types were rated low by the far western jurors, while the easterners rated lower the less rugged varieties derived from cypriana. On the whole there was a marked absence of "freak" votes. The voting showed conclusively that merit was a first consideration. Where there was real merit, the variety was usually well distributed and received a uniformly high grade.

Hybridists and nurserymen should consider these facts most seriously and submit their novelties and stock to the closest scrutiny, the

most discriminating selection, and the hardest tests before offering their novelties for registration, introduction, and sale.

Naturally some unfavorable criticism will be indulged in and many faults will be found both in the methods adopted and the results achieved. The membership of the Society can, however, be assured that the motive behind this symposium was wholly altruistic and born of an intense desire to both serve and protect the Breeder, the Nurseryman, and the Fancier.

The jury submits this report with a conscious feeling that it is not a perfect result, merely a step forward, and it is presented with an earnest desire to please and help all members to a better appreciation and understanding of the merits of the iris.

Note. In his accompanying letter Mr. Connell suggests that every juror should have an opportunity to express his opinion of the symposium, its carrying out and its results. I thoroughly agree and I hope that all jurors will keep this in mind and take advantage of the opportunity offered in our next Bulletin. I might add that the reports on other than the Bearded Iris group were, with one exception, entirely too few to be of value. That exception was in regard to the Siberica group which, after further study may find a place in our next Bulletin.
—Ed.

Instructions to Jurors

The following are substantially the instructions presented each juror by Mr. Wister, Dec. 1, 1921. The Check List as published was used for their reports; the votes were to be marked on a scale of 10, doubtful votes to be enclosed in a circle, and varieties grown but not yet judged merely checked. In the compilation doubtful votes were not included in the averages whenever they were abnormally high or low and would have perceptibly changed the result.

Each juror was requested to study the Score Card published in Bulletin No. 2 and to allow for the comparative value of any variety. For example, the existence of a closely similar but superior variety lowers the rating that should be given the one that has been out-classed; (Prosper Laugier tends to reduce the value of Dr. Bernice or Jacquesiana) and also a strict comparison between an Intermediate and a Tall Bearded iris is unfair (Prince Victor and Dominion do not compete).

In detail the votes should be considered as follows:

10 The recent work of breeders forecasts far superior development than known at present so that no variety should be given ten.

9.7 or 9.8 The nearest perfection among existing irises.

9.5 Almost perfect.

9.0 Very fine.

8.5 Fine.

8.0 Very good.

7.0 Good.

6.0 Good but not outstanding.

5.0 Fair but surpassed by similar varieties.

below 5 Poor and practically worthless.

The following ratings by five of the jury are a fair guide, in fact it is remarkable how closely their votes agree. A comparison with the final results (given in parentheses) is of interest.

Lent A. Williamson, (96).....9.7—9.8	Rhein Nixe, (84).....8.9—9.0
Queen of May, (74).....6.5—8.0	Victorine, (65).....6.0—6.5
Flavescens, (70).....6.5—8.0	Mrs. H. Darwin, (68).....6.5—7.0
Iris King, (79).....7.5—8.5	Mme. Chereau, (74).....7.5—8.0

Introduction

A symposium, or a consensus of opinion, upon the relative value of varieties of Bearded Irises has been long desired. In 1916 The American Peony Society attempted its first symposium and 19 reports were returned by members, in 1918 45 members responded and in 1920 over 80 lists were used in the compilation of their present valuable symposium. In other words only after three attempts was a real consensus of opinion obtained, and furthermore, a careful analysis of the lists revealed that the reports from the officers, or from a selected list of large growers, gave approximately the same average ratings on a variety as those compiled from the entire eighty lists.

With these facts in mind it seemed wise to base our Iris symposium upon the verdict of some twenty-five carefully selected jurors. We feel sure that you will consider the results fairly representative, not only of the real value of any variety, but of its behaviour under divers local conditions. The mere list of the jurors and their places of residence proves the worth of their combined report, at least in so far as it affects American conditions.

You will note that only provisional ratings are given on varieties introduced since 1916. One would hardly expect any substantial change in judgment based on ten or more reports, but where a variety has been grown but a year, or two, and by but a few, no true valuation is practical. We plan, therefore, to publish next year a supplementary and more final rating of the varieties introduced in 1917 and to follow this by annual additions to our symposium.

The following tables do not begin to give all the information obtainable from the data at hand and it is hoped that we may later rearrange the facts in various interesting ways. Graded lists by color, or reports on varieties particularly suited to California, are but two possibilities for the future.

Great credit is due Mr. Wister for his initiative, and Mr. Connell, who as Chairman of the Committee, has splendidly compiled the information obtained. Roughly the following tables represent hardly a tenth of the detailed work of compilation shown on Mr. Connell's original blueprints.

In the tabulation of the votes we are interested first in the average vote, second in the number of votes from which this average was compiled, and thirdly in the detailed distribution of votes from high to low. Both these secondary considerations actually affected the true value of the average. If a variety such as Acquahanonck receives even as few as three votes between six and seven they give presumably a fair average, but even the one vote of 8.5 in the case of Aika clearly raises the average out of all proportion. The higher the value put upon a variety and the smaller the number of voters the more carefully should we consider an isolated extreme vote and its effect. Often such a vote may be due to confusion in nomenclature, or merely to a clerical, or print-shop error. Again, take the case of Amas which is rated at 7.8 as an average, the fact that it received nine (almost half the total) votes of 8.0 suggests that eight would be a more representative rating than the mathematical average. It should also be remembered that some jurors rate more conservatively than others and that it is only human to consider a high vote much more conscientiously than a moderate, or low, one, and few of us can avoid an unconscious respect for the high price we have just paid for a novelty which has either been a complete disappointment, or a huge success. The extremes

shown in the voting on *Caterina* and *mesopotamica* reflect local climatic conditions; in the case of *Fro* and *Fontarabie*, the California votes are also noticeably high; the wide range in the case of *Albert Victor*, *pallida Dalmatica*, or *Fairy*, might be the result of confusion in nomenclature; whereas a similar width of range in varieties like *Dora Longdon*, or *Dr. Bernice*, is more likely to reflect personal color preferences.

All this suggests that the best of statistics are not absolutely reliable. As I glance down the column I see a number of varieties rated at about 7.0 which I want in my garden, but I shall hesitate a long time before I pay much money for any variety not rated at over 8.0 and I shall expect a change of 1.0 (usually down) as the novelties become more widely distributed.

It would be amusing, but not tactful, for you to know just who voted a certain value, but please remember that no breeder, or grower, was allowed to vote on varieties for which they were responsible, and that Mr. Connell included your Secretary's vote on Miss Sturtevant's introductions when he realized that he usually voted below the average.

It is strongly recommended that any varieties rated at below 6.0 should be absolutely discarded, neither listed by the grower, nor purchased by the amateur.

Alphabetical List of All Varieties Rated

Novelties introduced since 1916 are printed in light type (like this) and no varieties are included which did not receive 3, or more, votes.

Standard Varieties printed in bold type are included when they received two, or more, votes.

Certain errors discovered in the published Check List have been corrected herein, but undoubtedly you will find further errors in the following list for which I am responsible.

Abbreviations: TB, Tall Bearded; Int., Intermediate; DB, Dwarf Bearded; MB, Miscellaneous Bearded.

B. & A., Bobbink & Atkins; G. & K., Goos & Koenemann; Sturt, Sturtevant. I think all other introducers' names are given in full.

No breeder, or introducer, was allowed to vote on varieties, for the distribution of which he was responsible.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Abdul Aziz, TB (1876).....	6	53	2	4	..
Abu-Hassan, TB (1859).....	5	48	1	1	3
Acquahanock, TB (B. & A. 1917):...	3	67	2	1
x Ada, TB (1887).....	7	52	1	2	1	3
Admiral Togo, TB.....	3	57	1	..	2	..
Adonis, TB (Lemon 1840).....	8	57	2	2	3	1
x A. E. Kunderd, TB (Fryer 1917).....	8	58	1	..	3	..	4	1
A. F. Barron, TB (1876).....	9	58	3	2	3	1
x Afterglow, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	20	86	2	7	6	4	..	1
Agnes, TB (1888).....	11	55	3	1	5	2
Alka, TB.....	4	53	1	1	2
x Albatross, TB.....	10	59	2	2	5	1
x Albert Victor, TB (1885).....	21	79	2	1	4	7	3	2	1	1	..
albicans, TB (Lange).....	9	73	..	1	..	2	1	3	2
x Aleazar, TB (Vilm. 1910).....	23	89	4	11	6	2
Aletha, TB (Farr 1913).....	13	58	2	2	1	1	4	3
Alfred Fiddler, TB (1876).....	3	47	2	1	..
Alice Barr, TB (Barr 1876).....	5	50	2	1	2	..
Amabilis, TB (Lemon 1840).....	10	55	1	5	2	2	..
Amas, TB (1885).....	21	78	..	2	1	9	5	4
Ambassadeur, TB (Vilm. 1920) (3, 9, 8)	11	94	7	3	1
A. M. Brand, TB (Fryer, 1919).....	4	65	2	2
x Ambigu, TB (Vilm. 1916).....	11	83	..	4	2	2	2	1
Amle, TB (Millet, 1912).....	2	45	1	1	..
Andrassy, DB (Barr, 1893).....	4	66	..	1	1	2
Ann Page, TB (Hort, 1919).....	6	86	2	1	1	2
Anna Farr, TB (Farr 1913).....	17	84	..	4	5	5	2	1
Anne Leslie, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	17	83	..	4	3	8	2
Annle Jane, TB (1859).....	2	45	1	1	..
Antinous, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	45	1	1	..
aphylla, DB (Linnaeus).....	4	63	3	1	..
Apollo, TB (Lemon 1840).....	5	66	..	1	..	1	1	1	1	..	1
Arabesque, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	66	..	1	..	1	1	1	..	1	..

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
— Archeveque, TB (Vilm. 1911).....	22	83	..	4	8	6	4
Ardenta, TB	4	55	2	2	..
Arethusa, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	68	2	1
Argentea, TB.....	4	55	1	2	1
Argus, TB (1877).....	7	62	1	1	4	1	..
Arlequin Mallnais, TB (Lemon 1840)	10	60	1	2	3	4	..
Armenien, TB (Millet 19—).....	5	75	1	2	1	1
Arnols, TB (Barr 189—).....	20	78	..	2	5	5	3	3	2
Arsace, TB (Millet 19—).....	8	84	..	2	4	1	..	1
Asia, TB (Yeld 1920).....	2	92	1	1?
Assuerus, TB (Lemon).....	9	58	1	1	3	3	1
Astarte, TB (1859).....	8	49	1	5	2
Atlas, TB (Millet 191—).....	5	75	1	3	1	..
Atrocaerulea, TB	3	65	1	1	1
Atroviolacea, TB (1859).....	6	63	2	2	..	1	1
Attraction, TB (1885).....	11	54	3	4	2	2
— Aurea, TB (Jac. 1830?).....	22	74	5	7	1	5	2	1	1
Aurea maculata, DB.....	6	64	1	..	1	3	1	..
Aurora, TB (Foster 1909).....	7	74	1	2	1	2	1
Australis, TB	4	66	1	1	2
Autocrat, TB (Cleveland 1920).....	4	79	..	1	1	1	1
— Avalon, TB (Cleveland 1920).....	6	91	3	2	1
Avenir, TB (1873).....	2	63	2
A. W. Blakely, TB (Fryer 1917).....	2	60	2
A. W. Latham, TB (Fryer 1919).....	3	62	3
— Aymard, TB (Denis 1911).....	7	68	..	1	..	1	1	1	2	..	1
Azure, TB (Bliss 1918).....	10	82	5	4	..	1
<i>Balcary</i> Ballerine, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	5	94	2	1	..	2
Barbara, TB (Foster 1913).....	4	69	1	1	1	1	..
Barbara Perry, DB (Perry 1903)....	2	70	1	1
Baronet, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	80	1	1	1
Barton Harrington, TB (Fryer 1919)	6	79	..	2	..	1	1	1
Bashi-Bazouk, TB (Sturt. 1919).....	6	73	1	2	1	1	..	1	..
Baxter, TB	2	65	1	1	..
Beaconsfield, TB	6	46	1	1	4
Beatrice, TB (Caparne 1901).....	1	50	1	..
Beauregard, TB (1868).....	2	45	1	1
Beauty, TB (1876).....	2	45	1	1
Beauty, DB (Perry 1903).....	2	55	1	1	2	4
Beethoven, TB (1887).....	9	47	1	2	2	..
Belcolor, TD (Denis 1910).....	4	68	1	1	1	..	1	..
Belgicia, TB (Parm. 1830).....	1	50	1	..
Benacensis, DB.....	11	59	1	4	2	2	2
Benbow, TB (Bliss 1917).....	7	83	..	3	..	3	..	1
Berchta, TB (G. & K.) 1909).....	15	61	1	4	6	2	2
Berghi, TB (1839).....	4	66	1	1	1	1
Berlioz, DB	2	60	1	..	1	..
Bianca, TB (Millet 1912).....	4	65	1	..	1	1	1	..
Bigotoni, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	60	1	..	1	..
Bismark, TB (1888).....	4	43	1	3
Black Prince, TB (Perry 1900).....	18	77	..	2	5	4	..	2	5
Blanche, DB (Perry 19—).....	1	60	1

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Blue Beard, DB (Perry 1903).....	6	53	1	..	2	..	3
Blue Bird, TB (Bliss 1919).....	2	83	1	1
Blue Boy, Int. (Foster 1913).....	14	67	1	1	4	2	4	1	1
Blue Jay, TB (Farr 1913).....	18	71	..	1	1	3	3	6	4
Blue Lagoon, TB (Bliss 1919).....	3	81	1	1	1
Bluestone, DB (Perry 1903).....	6	66	2	..	1	2	1	..
Bluet, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	6	74	..	1	1	1	..	2	..	1	..
Bocceage, TB (Lemon 1840).....	8	50	1	2	1	4
Boismilon, TB (Lemon 1840).....	4	59	1	1	..	1	1
Bosniamac, Int. (Willmott 19—).....	7	68	..	1	1	1	..	1	2	..	1
Brandywine, TB (Farr 1920).....	2	91	..	2
Bride, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	10	67	1	6	2	..	1
Bridesmaid, DB	4	59	1	1	..	2
Bridesmaid, TB (Salter 1859).....	12	60	1	1	2	3	4	1
Brionese, TB	10	69	1	1	3	2	1	1	1
Britanicus, TB (1864).....	4	53	2	1	1
Bronze Age, TB.....	2	60	1	..	1	..
Bronze Beauty, TB (1884).....	7	56	1	..	3	2	1
Brooklyn, TB	9	49	1	..	5	3
Brunette, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	8	64	1	1	2	3	..	1
Buriensis, TB (De Bur. 1920).....	6	63	1	1	..	2	2	..
Buriensis Elizabeth, TB.....	6	58	1	..	1	1	2	1
Butterfly, DB	4	53	2	1	1
B. Y. Morrison, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	17	88	1	..	10	3	3
Calpyso, TB (Lemon 1840).....	6	52	1	5	..
Cameleon, TB (Lemon 1840).....	9	65	1	2	3	..	2	1
Camelia, TB (Fryer 1919).....	2	45	1	1
Camelot, TB (Bliss 1918).....	7	81	3	3	1
Canary Bird, DB (Perry 19—).....	6	61	1	2	1	1	1
Candelabre, TB (Vilm. 1911).....	7	66	1	..	2	4
Caprice, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	17	75	2	7	5	..	2	1	..
Carthusian, TB (Marshall 1906).....	15	81	..	3	4	5	..	3
Caterina, TB (Foster 1909).....	19	89	1	6	5	3	3	1
Cazes, TB (Lemon 1855) (Duc de)...	2	63	2
Celeste, TB (Lemon 1855).....	21	71	2	6	2	5	4	2	..
Celia, TB (Yeld 19—).....	6	58	1	..	1	1	2	1
cengialti, TB (Ambrosi).....	12	68	3	2	3	1	3	..
cengialti purpurea, TB.....	2	63	1	1	..
Chalcedonica, TB.....	6	57	1	..	2	3	..
chamaeiris, DB (Bertolini).....	9	65	1	..	1	3	3	..	1
chamaeiris alba, DB (1876).....	7	58	3	2	..	2
chamaeiris aurea, DB.....	5	63	1	1	2	..	1
chamaeiris Delicata, DB (Caparne 1901).....	3	47	1	..	2
Charles Darwin, TB (Foster 1895)...	5	52	1	1	1	2
Charles Dickens, TB.....	8	56	1	..	3	3	1
Charmant, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	10	69	1	1	1	3	3	1	..
Charnier, DB (Caparne 1901).....	3	65	1	1	1	..
Chateaufort, (Verd. 1830), (Duchess de)	4	60	1	2	1	..
Chelles, TB	11	59	1	2	3	4	1
Chenodelle, TB (1872).....	7	54	1	2	3	1
Chereau, TB (Lemon 1840) (M).....	10	55	2	1	5	2

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Cherokee Maid, MB (Wmsn. 1918)...	6	85	1	3	1
— Cherubin, TB (Vilm. 1911).....	13	68	2	1	5	4	1	..
— Chester J. Hunt, TB (Farr 1913)....	13	69	1	1	3	5	2	..	1
Chinerea, DB (1856).....	5	40	1	2	2
Citrea, DB (G. & K. 1899).....	5	67	1	3	..	1	..
— Clarence Wedge, TB (Fryer 1919)...	11	65	1	..	4	1	2	1	2
Clarissa, TB (1867).....	2	55	1	1
Clematis, TB (Bliss 1917).....	9	78	..	1	2	4	..	1	..	1	..
Cleopatra, TB (Lemon 1840).....	6	64	1	..	1	3	1	..
Clio, TB (1863).....	9	73	..	1	2	3	..	1	..	1	1
Cluny, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	6	86	..	2	3	1
— Coerulea, DB	12	84	1	1	1	7	2
— Col. Candelot, TB (Millet 1907).....	12	80	..	1	5	3	1	1	1
Compacta, DB (G & K 1906).....	3	68	1	1	1	..
Conqueror, TB (Lemon 1840).....	7	48	2	2	3
Constance, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	2	65	1	1
Copperman, TB (Barr 18—)	4	76	1	2	1
Cordelia, TB (Park 1873?).....	18	71	..	2	..	1	2	9	4
— Cordon Bleu, TB (Sturt. 1921).....	8	77	..	1	1	1	3	2
Corrida, TB (Millet 19—).....	10	83	..	2	3	3	..	2
— Cottage Maid, TB (Barr).....	12	65	1	1	4	5	1	..
Courey, TB (1860), (Comtesse de)...	5	59	1	1	1	1	1
Crepuscule, TB (V. Verd. 1863).....	3	60	1	2	..
Cretonne, TB (Bliss 1919).....	4	84	..	2	1	1
— Crimson King, TB	14	89	1	1	2	4	3	3
— Crusader, TB (Foster 1913).....	19	87	2	8	7	1	..	1
Curiosity, DB (Perry 19—).....	7	42	1	..	1	1	4
— Cyanea, DB (G & K 1899).....	13	65	5	2	2	2	..	1
Cypriana, TB	7	87	1	1	..	3	..	2
Cytheree, TB (1869).....	4	55	1	..	3	..
Daisy Hill, TB (Smith 19—).....	2	55	1	1	..
— Dalila, TB (Denis 1914).....	16	80	..	4	2	5	1	4
Dalmarins, TB (G. & K. 1907).....	20	75	..	1	1	8	1	7	1	1	..
Darius, TB (Park. 1873).....	19	68	4	3	6	4	1	1
Dauphin, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	6	69	1	4	1
David, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	75	..	1	1
— Dawn, TB (Yeld 1911).....	14	78	..	2	3	2	4	3
Dejazet, TB (Vilm. 1914).....	11	83	..	3	3	2	3
Delagrance, TB (Millet 1916) (Souv. de)	5	71	1	1	..	1	2
Delicata, TB	4	59	1	..	1	2	..
Delicatissima, TB (Millet 19—).....	13	82	..	6	2	2	1	1	..	1	..
Demi-Deuil, TB (Denis 1912).....	11	69	5	..	2	2	2	..
Demure, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	6	65	2	..	1	2	..	1
— Diamond, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	5	71	..	1	..	2	..	2
Diane, TB (Vilm. 1911).....	4	63	1	3
Dilkush, MB (Foster 1909).....	8	76	3	..	1	2	1
Dimity, TB (Bliss 1919).....	10	83	1	4	1	1	..	3
— Difton Purple, DB.....	2	70	1	1
Dolphin, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	6	71	3	2	1
Dominion, TB (Bliss 1917) (2-9.8)	12	94	9	1	..	2
(2-9.7)	3	70	3
Don Carlos, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	3	70	3
Donna Maria, TB (Lemon 1840).....	10	52	2	1	4	3	..

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5	
Donna Nook, TB.....	3	70	2	1	..	
Dorak, MB (Foster 1909).....	5	71	1	3	1	
Dora Longdon, TB (Bliss 1918).....	13	81	1	3	1	4	2	1	1	
Dorman, TB (Bliss 1920).....	5	79	2	1	1	1	
Dorothea, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	18	76	..	2	3	4	1	6	2	
Dr. Andrist, TB (Fryer 1919).....	7	75	..	1	2	1	3	
Dr. Bernice, TB (1867).....	21	74	..	1	2	3	4	8	3	
Dr. Sanford, TB (Fryer 1917).....	5	62	2	2	1	..	
Drake, TB (Bliss 1919).....	5	82	..	2	..	2	..	1	
Dream, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	12	85	1	2	6	3	
Du Guesclin, TB (Bliss 1921).....	4	83	3	..	1	
Dusk, TB (Morrison 1921).....	4	87	1	1	1	..	1	
Eburna, DB (G & K 1899).....	6	70	1	2	2	..	1	..	
Ellipse, DB (Caparne 1901).....	3	78	1	1	..	1	
Edina, TB (Lemon 1840).....	3	53	1	2	..	
Edouard Michel, TB (Verdier 1904).. <td><td>21</td><td>86</td><td>1</td><td>10</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td></td>	<td>21</td> <td>86</td> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td>	21	86	1	10	3	6	1
Edward Simmons, TB (1889).....	8	53	2	6	..	
Eldorado, TB (Vilm. 1910).....	22	78	..	3	5	7	2	2	3	
Ellinor, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	8	70	..	1	..	2	5	
E. L. Crandall, TB (Farr 1915).....	14	76	..	2	1	6	1	2	1	..	1	
Emir, TB (Yeld 1918).....	4	79	3	1	
Empire, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	10	75	..	1	1	2	2	2	2	
Empress, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	8	81	..	1	3	..	1	3	
Erich, TB (Farr 1913).....	9	69	1	1	5	2	
Esmeralda, TB	4	68	..	1	1	1	1	..	
Esperance, TB	2	70	1	1	
Etta, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	10	74	2	1	2	4	1	
Eugene Bonvalet, TB (Cayeux 1906).. <td><td>3</td><td>44</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>1</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>..</td><td>2</td></td>	<td>3</td> <td>44</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>1</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>..</td> <td>2</td>	3	44	1	2
Eugene Sue, TB (Lemon 1848).....	8	56	1	1	1	1	4	
Excelsa, DB (G & K 1899).....	7	73	1	2	..	3	1	
Exquisite, TB (Parker ? 1874).....	4	49	1	1	1	1	
Fairy, TB (Kennicott 1905).....	22	80	1	3	7	2	4	4	1	
Fairy Queen TB (1859).....	3	50	1	1	1	
Fantasy, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	78	1	..	2	
Faustine, TB (Lemon 1859).....	2	50	1	1	
Favourite, TB (1874).....	3	37	1	1	1	
Fenella, TB (Morrison 1921).....	3	75	3	
Fieberi, DB.....	3	73	1	..	2	
Flavescens, TB (De Candolle).....	23	70	2	4	4	5	6	2	..	
flavissima, (Pallas) (arenaria).....	4	78	3	..	1	
Florence Barr, TB (Barr 1876).....	5	54	2	3	..	
Florence Wells, TB (Barr).....	3	60	1	1	1	..	
Florentina, TB (1500).....	23	76	1	..	1	9	5	5	2	
Floribunda, DB (G & K 1910).....	6	68	1	..	3	2	
Florida, DB (G & K 1899).....	5	68	1	..	2	2	
Follis variegatis, TB.....	6	56	1	..	1	1	1	2	
Fontarable, TB	10	71	..	1	..	1	1	5	1	1	..	
Formosa, DB (G & K 1899).....	3	53	2	1	
Foster's Yellow, TB (Foster 1909)....	17	65	1	2	3	2	4	5	..	
Francina, TB (Bliss 1920).....	4	70	1	2	1	..	
Frederick, TB (1896).....	12	59	1	..	2	5	3	1	
Freya, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	8	65	1	1	2	3	1	..	

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Fritjof, Int. (G & K 1910).....	15	74	2	6	1	2	3	1	..
Fro, TB (G & K 1910).....	18	75	..	2	2	5	3	1	4	1	..
Fryer's Glory, TB (Fryer 1919).....	4	75	1	..	1	2
<i>Julius</i> Gajus, TB (G & K 1906).....	19	67	4	3	4	6	..	2
Ganymede, TB (Lemon 1855).....	5	54	1	2	..	2
Garibaldi, TB (Ware 1898).....	6	50	2	2	..	2
Georgia, TB (Farr 1920).....	4	89	..	3	1
Gerda, Int. (G & K 1910).....	12	67	2	1	3	5	1	..
germanica, TB (Linnaeus).....	11	71	2	2	5	2
germanica alba, TB (1837).....	9	71	1	4	..	1	1	2	..
germanica Major, TB	5	74	2	2	..	1
Gertrude, TB (Peterson 1907).....	10	65	1	1	2	1	3	..	2
Giran, MB (Foster 1913).....	4	79	..	1	1	1	1
Gisele, TB (Lemon 1848).....	8	56	1	2	3	2
Gladstone, TB (Ware 1890).....	6	66	1	1	2	1	..	1
Gloire de Hillegom, TB.....	7	76	1	2	2	1	1
Gloriette, TB (Lemon 1855).....	5	66	1	..	2	1	1	..
Glory of Reading, TB (Farr 1909)...	11	68	1	..	1	5	3	1	..
Gold Crest, TB (Dykes 1914).....	11	75	..	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	..
Golden Plume, TB (Fryer 1917).....	5	48	1	3	1
Goldweir, TB (formerly Gold Crest), var.) (Weir 1894).....	5	54	1	1	2	1
Goliath, TB (Cayeux 1908).....	8	71	1	1	1	3	2
Gorgeous, TB	3	70	1	..	1	1	..
Gov. Hughes, TB (Fryer 1917).....	3	71	1	1	5	1
Gracchus, TB (Ware 1884).....	18	61	8	6	2	2
Grace Kimball, TB (Fryer 1917)....	6	65	3	3
Gracillis, DB (Berg. 1835).....	7	58	1	1	1	1	2	1
Grand Bouquet, TB (1919).....	3	63	1	1	..	1	..
Grand Master, TB.....	2	60	1	..	1	..
Grandee, DB (Caparne 1901).....	4	70	1	..	2	1
Gray Morn, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	4	55	1	1	1	1
Grevin, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	4	85	3	1
Gules, TB (Bliss 1917).....	6	73	2	2	1	1
G. W. Peake, TB (Fryer 1917).....	4	61	1	2	1	..
Gypsy Queen, TB (1859).....	18	58	2	2	4	4	2	4
Halfdan, Int. (G & K 1908).....	17	71	1	3	2	7	3	1	..
Halo, TB (Yeld 1917).....	4	88	..	2	2	1	..
Hamadan, MB (Foster 1909).....	7	71	..	1	2	..	1	2	..	1	..
Hannibal, TB (1884).....	6	60	2	2	1	1
Harlequin, DB (Perry 1903).....	9	49	2	2	2	3
Harriet, TB (Fryer 1917).....	2	55	1	1	..
Harrison Weir, TB (Weir 1880).....	4	48	1	1	2
Hautefeuille, TB (Denis 1910).....	6	74	..	1	1	1	..	1	2
Haydn, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	67	1	1
Hebe, TB (1854).....	6	70	2	2	1	1	..
Hector, TB (Lemon 1840).....	13	62	1	3	6	3
Helena, TB (Hort. 1920).....	2	65	1	1
Helge, Int. (G & K 1908).....	15	66	2	3	2	7	1	..
Herant, TB.....	2	50	1	..	1
Hereward, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	2	65	1	1
Her Majesty, TB (Perry 1903).....	22	73	6	5	7	4
Hermione, TB (Hort. 1920).....	3	88	1	1	..	1

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Herodotus, TB (1884).....	6	50	3	..	3
Hiawatha, TB (Farr 1913).....	12	74	2	..	4	6
Hilda, TB (Bliss 1920).....	3	73	2	1
Honorable, TB (Lemon 1840).....	19	40	1	4	14
Hopatecong, TB (B & A 1917).....	4	60	1	2	1	..
Hope, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	63	1	2	1	..	1
Hugo, TB (Farr 1913).....	11	64	3	1	3	3	1	..
Humboldt, TB (1884) (Alex. von)....	2	45	1	1
Idlon, TB (Lemon 1840).....	7	51	1	2	..	4
Ignacite, TB (Lemon 1859).....	3	58	1	..	1	..	1
Igouf, TB (Millet 1911).....	3	66	1	..	1	..	1	..
Incognita, TB (Foster 1909).....	3	60	1	1	1	..
Ingeborg, Int. (G. & K. 1908).....	18	77	..	2	2	7	2	2	3
Innocenza, TB (Lemon 1854).....	21	71	1	6	4	5	4	..	1
Iris King, TB (G & K 1907).....	23	79	7	8	4	3	1
Iroquois, TB (Farr 1913).....	12	65	1	1	3	5	2	..
Ismali, MB (Foster 1909).....	3	68	1	1	1
Isola, TB (Foster 1909).....	9	69	1	2	1	1	3	1	..
Isoline, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	23	86	3	8	6	4	..	1	1
Italica, TB (chamaeiris).....	4	53	1	1	2
Ivanhoe, TB (Millet 1911).....	3	83	2	1
Ivorine, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	14	73	..	1	..	3	3	5	2
Jacquesiana, TB (Lemon 1840).....	23	80	1	..	7	9	3	3
James Boyd, TB (Farr 1915).....	9	70	1	1	2	2	2	1	..
Jean Sisley, TB (1887).....	5	53	1	..	1	1	2
Jeanne d'Arc, TB (Verd. 1907).....	19	78	..	2	3	6	4	3	1
John Bull, TB (1906).....	2	45	1	1
John Foster, Int. (Foster 1913).....	6	70	4	1	..	1
John Fraser, TB (1896).....	3	46	1	..	2
Josephine, DB (Caparne 1901).....	4	71	1	2	1
Judith, TB (1867).....	3	63	1	1	1	..
Jungfrau, TB (Fair Maid).....	6	58	2	1	2	1
Juniata, TB (Farr 1909).....	22	81	..	2	7	8	3	2
Junonia, TB (Schott & Kotsky).....	8	73	1	3	..	2	2
Justinian, TB.....	4	58	1	..	2	..	1
kashmiriana, TB (Baker).....	1	90
Kashmir White, TB (Foster 1913)...	12	84	2	4	2	1	1	1	1
Kathleen, TB.....	12	65	1	..	4	7
Kathryn Fryer, TB (Fryer 1917)....	7	81	..	1	1	4	..	1
Katrinka, TB (Sturt. 1917).....	4	79	1	2	..	1	..
Kharput, TB (Asiatica, Italia).....	19	74	7	4	5	3
Khediye, TB (1884).....	3	67	2	1
King Christian, Int. (Caparne 1901)..	7	68	1	..	4	2
King George V, TB (Perry 1911)....	2	78	1	..	1
King Humbert, Int. (Caparne 1901)..	2	60	1	..	1	..
Kynsna, TB (Bliss 1917).....	10	78	1	1	2	2	..	2	2
Kochi, TB (Nomenclature much confused)	22	78	3	11	3	5
Kochosum, TB.....	3	57	2	1

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
La Beaute, TB (1914).....	3	75	2	1
La Neige, TB (Verdier 1912).....	17	83	1	4	4	5	..	2	1
Lady Foster, TB (Foster 1913).....	17	85	2	5	3	5	1	..	1
Lady Lilford, MB (Foster 19—).....	7	80	2	3	1	1
Lady Seymour, TB (1884).....	5	54	2	3	..
Lady Stanhope, TB (1854).....	4	59	2	1	1
Lady Stump, TB	2	65	1	1	..
Laura E. Sturtevant, TB (Sturt. 1920)	4	79	1	1	2
Laurentinus, TB	2	53	1	..	1
Lavater, TB (1884).....	3	65	1	1	1	..
Lavendulacea, TB (1884).....	11	59	1	..	1	3	2	2	2
La Reve, TB	4	63	2	1	1	..
Leda, TB (Farr 1909).....	11	64	2	2	1	3	2	1
Lemon, TB (Lemon 1840).....	6	63	1	2	1	2	..
Lent A. Williamson, TB (Wmsn. 1918)	19	96	10	8	1
Leonidas, TB	14	73	..	1	2	5	1	1	3	..	1
Leopold, DB (Caparne 1901).....	4	71	1	1	1	1
Leverrier, TB (Denis 1917).....	7	90	2	2	2	1
Lewis Trowbridge, TB (Farr 1913)...	12	74	1	5	1	3	2
Libaudii, TB (Lemon 1840).....	3	43	2	1
Lilacina, TB (1841).....	3	37	1	2
Lohengrin, TB (G & K 1910).....	23	82	..	4	4	12	2	1
Loppio, TB (Foster) (cengialti).....	8	61	1	1	1	..	1	3	1
Lord Grey, TB (Lemon 1840).....	15	65	5	..	3	3	3	1
Lord of June, TB (Yeld 1911) (2-9.7)	20	91	6	11	1	2
Lord Rosse, TB.....	4	55	1	1	1
Lord Salisbury, TB.....	4	60	1	3	..
Loreley, TB (G & K 1909).....	23	79	5	12	2	3	1
Loie Fuller, TB (Vaughn 1916).....	8	59	2	1	2	1	2
Louis Meyer, TB.....	3	47	1	..	2
Louis van Houtte, TB (Lemon 1840)...	3	53	2	..	1
Loute, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	11	68	1	..	3	2	5
Lucy, TB	4	58	1	1	2	..
Lurida, TB	11	64	2	2	3	..	2	2
Lutea, DB (1875).....	6	69	2	..	1	1	1	..	1
Lutea Maculata, DB.....	3	60	2	1
Lutescens, DB	3	61	1	1	1
Macrocarpa, DB (Leichtlin 1888)....	6	64	1	2	2	1	..
Maculata, DB.....	4	54	2	1	1
Madison Cooper, TB (Fryer 1919)...	3	78	1	..	2
Madonna, TB	3	58	1	1	..	1
Mady Carriere, TB (Millet).....	6	79	1	3	2
Maggie, TB (Foster 1884) (Miss)....	8	54	1	2	3	2
Magnate, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	78	3	2
Magnet, TB (Lemon 1840).....	3	52	1	1	1
Magnifica, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	4	91	1	2	1
Major, TB (1840).....	4	77	1	..	2	1
Malvina, TB (Lemon 1855).....	7	43	2	2	3
Ma Mie, TB (Cayeux 1906).....	20	81	..	2	6	7	3	1	1
Mandalay, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	71	1	1	2	1
Mandraliscae, TB	17	73	..	1	2	6	..	5	1	2	..
Maori King, TB (Ware 1890).....	19	61	1	2	6	4	3	3
Marenco, TB (1897).....	5	62	2	2	1	..

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Mareschall, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	3	73	2	1
Margaret Moor, TB (Bliss 1918).....	7	71	..	1	4	2
Marie Corelli, TB.....	4	54	1	..	1	..	2
Maritana, TB (Ware 1895).....	6	58	2	2	1	1
Marjolin, TB (Lemon 1855).....	3	63	1	1	1	..
Marmora, TB (1854).....	4	61	1	..	2	1	..
Mars, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	7	71	1	2	2	2
Marsh Marigold, TB (Bliss 1919).....	4	87	..	2	..	1	..	1
Marvar, TB (G & K 1903) (Mars)...	3	72	1	1	..	1
Mary Garden, TB (Farr 1913).....	18	78	..	4	5	2	1	5	..	1	..
Mary Gray, TB (Farr 1913).....	13	73	2	3	2	2	4
Mary Minanelle, TB (1854).....	9	67	1	7	1
Mary Williamson, TB (Wmsn. 1921)...	7	78	5	2
Massasoit, TB (Farr 1916).....	5	74	..	1	1	1	1	1	..
Mauvine, TB (Dean 1919).....	6	76	1	1	1	3
Medallion, TB (Sturt. 1917).....	5	63	3	1	..	1
Medrano, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	9	85	..	3	3	3
Mephistopheles, TB (1889).....	8	50	1	..	2	5
Mercedes, TB (Verdier 1905).....	8	83	..	1	3	3	1
Merlin, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	10	84	..	3	3	3	1
mesopotamica, TB (Dykes).....	7	81	..	1	2	3	..	1
Meteor, DB (Caparne 1901).....	3	73	2	1
Mexicana, TB (1859).....	8	59	3	2	2	1
M. G. Peters, TB (Fryer 1917).....	7	66	..	1	..	1	..	1	1	1	1
Mikado, TB (Denis 1914).....	9	66	3	..	2	3	..	1
Milton, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	70	1	1
Minnehaha, TB (Farr 1913).....	13	78	..	3	1	3	3	2	1
Mirage, TB (Foster 1909).....	3	62	1	..	1	1	..
Miralba, TB (Lemon 1848).....	10	56	4	3	2	1
Miriam, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	5	60	2	2	..	1
Miss Eardley, TB (Perry 1910).....	12	64	3	1	1	4	2	1
Miss Owen, DB (Barr 1893).....	3	70	1	..	1	1
Miss White, DB (Barr 1893).....	5	60	2	2	..	1
Miss Willmott, DB (Foster 1910)....	12	76	2	3	4	2	1
Mist, TB (Foster 1909).....	5	63	1	2	..	2	..
Mithras, TB (G & K 1910).....	15	75	..	2	1	2	4	4	2
Mlle. Schwartz, TB (Denis 1916).....	12	87	2	3	5	2
Mme. Baze, TB (Denis 1918).....	2	68	1	1
Mme. Blanche Pion, TB (Cayeux 1906)	13	68	1	2	2	3	4	..	1
Mme. Boulet, TB (Denis 1919).....	9	77	7	2
Mme. Chereau, TB (Lemon 1844).....	21	74	3	4	6	5	2	..	1
Mme. Cheri, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	8	83	..	3	1	2	1	1
Mme. Chobant, TB (Denis 1916).....	4	85	..	1	2	1
Mme. Claude Monet, TB (Denis 1912)	5	86	1	..	2	2
Mme. de Seville, TB (Denis 1916)...	8	78	..	1	2	1	1	2	1
Mme. Denis, TB (Denis 1918).....	3	72	1	2
Mme. Durrand, TB (Denis 1912).....	5	86	1	1	1	2
Mme. Guerville, TB (1860).....	6	63	3	2	1	..
Mme. Louesse, TB (1860).....	4	81	1	..	2	1
Mme. Patti, TB.....	3	50	1	1	1
Mme. Thibault, TB.....	5	60	2	1	2	..
Moa, TB (Bloiss 1919).....	3	90	2	1
Modeste Guerin, TB (1880).....	3	58	1	2	..
Moliere, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	6	85	..	2	2	2

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Monhassan, TB	6	54	1	1	2	2
Monsignor, TB (Vilm. 1907).....	22	84	..	4	9	7	1	1
Mont d'Or, TB.....	3	57	1	1	..	1
Montezuma, TB (Farr 1909).....	12	83	..	3	2	6	1
Moor, TB (Caprne 1901).....	3	72	1	2
Morphee, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	45	1	1
Mort Sanford, TB (Fryer 1919).....	2	70	2
Morwell, TB (Bliss 1917).....	6	82	..	1	1	3	1
Mount Penn, TB (Farr 1909).....	13	82	..	1	5	6	1
Mozart, TB (1854).....	5	52	1	1	1	2
Mr. Shaw, TB.....	3	50	1	1	1
Mrs. Alan Gray, TB (Foster 1909)...	22	76	7	4	2	5	4
Mrs. A. M. Brand, TB (Fryer 1919) ..	3	73	1	..	2
Mrs. Andrist, TB (Fryer 1919).....	5	67	1	..	2	1	1
Mrs. Barron, TB.....	4	49	1	1	2
Mrs. Christman, TB (Fryer 1919)....	4	65	1	1	..	2	..
Mrs. Cowley, TB (Bliss 1920).....	3	78	..	1	1	1
Mrs. Curtis, TB (Fryer 1917).....	4	64	1	1	1	1	..
Mrs. Dugdale, TB (Perry).....	8	53	2	..	1	1	1	3
Mrs. Fryer, TB (Fryer 1917). <i>N.E.</i> ..	8	79	2	4	..	2
Mrs. George Darwin, TB (Foster 1897)	13	60	4	3	3	3
Mrs. Horace Darwin, TB (Foster 1903)	22	68	1	3	2	6	8	1	1
Mrs. J. S. Brand, TB (Fryer 1919)...	3	70	3
Mrs. Kimball, TB (Fryer 1919).....	7	66	1	..	3	2	1	..
Mrs. Kingscote, TB (Perry 1911).....	4	70	4
Mrs. Neubronner, TB (Ware 189-)...	21	68	1	5	2	5	4	3	1
Mrs. Reuthe, TB (Ware 1899).....	16	66	1	1	1	4	7	2	..
Mrs. Sanford, TB (Fryer 1919).....	5	67	1	2	1	1	..
Munico, TB (Lemon 1840).....	4	50	1	2	1
Munite, TB (1884).....	6	56	1	1	1	1	2
Murat, TB.....	4	53	1	3	..
Myth, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	4	70	1	..	2	1
Nancy Orne, TB (Sturt. 1921).....	5	82	4	..	1
National, TB (Lemon 1840).....	6	64	1	1	4
Naushon, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	4	74	1	1	2
Navajo, TB (Farr 1913).....	12	74	2	2	2	5	1
Nazarin, MB (Foster).....	2	90	..	2
Neglecta, TB (1835).....	5	63	1	..	4
Nemours, TB (Lemon 1848) (Duc de) ..	7	55	1	..	2	3	1
Neptune, TB (Yeld 1916).....	8	81	3	4	1
Nibelungen, TB (G. & K. 1910).....	20	73	3	2	4	9	2
Nine Wells, TB (Foster 1909).....	15	80	1	..	4	5	3	2
Niobe, DB (Caparne 1901).....	4	66	1	1	1	1
Niphetos, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	2	55	1	1	..
Nirvana, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	77	2	3
Nokomis, TB (Farr 1915).....	12	63	1	3	7	1	..
Nothung, TB (G. & K. 1913).....	4	75	1	2	1
Nova Aurea, DB (H & S 1878).....	5	68	4	1
Nova Candida, DB (H & S 1878).....	4	69	1	2	1
Nudicaulis, DB.....	6	57	1	3	1	1
Nudicaulis, Purpurea, DB.....	3	68	2	1
Nuee d'Orange, TB (Verdier 1905)....	19	76	..	1	2	6	3	5	2
Obelisque, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	70	1	1
Ochracea, TB (Dentis 1919).....	3	79	1	..	1	..	1

orientalis - Blue King
new Queen

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Odin, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	5	68	1	1	..	3
Odoratissima, TB.....	8	78	..	1	..	2	1	2	2
Onnoris, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	82	2	..	1
Opera, TB (Vilm. 1916).....	15	87	1	7	3	3	1
Oporto, TB (Yeld 1911).....	4	79	1	2	..	1
Orange Queen, DB (Perry 19-).....	11	64	..	1	..	1	2	3	1	1	2
Oriental, TB (Farr 1913).....	12	72	1	3	..	5	3
Orillamme, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	21	78	4	9	4	2
Orleans, TB (Lemon 1848) (Duchess de)	3	53	1	..	1	1
Orpheus, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	55	1	1	..
Ossian, TB (1868).....	15	63	2	6	3	3	1
Othello, TB (Lemon 1848).....	20	68	1	4	1	7	5	2	..
Our King, TB (Denis 1916).....	3	72	1	2
Pacquita, TB (Ln. 1848) (Mme.)....	14	58	2	4	1	4	3
Palaurea, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	8	68	2	4	1	1	..
pallida, TB (Lamarck).....	3	80	1	1	1
pallida Dalmatica, TB (1600) (confused with Princess Beatrice and others)	21	88	4	9	4	3	..	1
Palissy, TB (Jackson 1889).....	3	68	2	1
Pameron, TB (1906).....	4	55	3	..	1
Panrace, TB (1854).....	3	57	2	1	..
Pancroft, TB (Millet 1909).....	8	73	2	2	1	1	1	1
Paracina, MB (Foster 1901).....	9	70	2	..	5	2	..
Parc de Neuilly, TB (Verdier 1910).....	19	81	..	3	6	5	3	1	1
Parisiana, TB (Vilm. 1911).....	19	79	..	2	2	7	5	3
Parsam, MB (Foster 1905).....	9	74	1	3	..	4	1
Parvar, MB (Foster 1909).....	12	75	..	1	2	2	..	6	1
Patience, TB (Foster 1909).....	13	69	5	3	1	2	..	2
Pauline, TB (Farr 1913).....	15	75	..	1	..	4	4	5	1
Paxatawny, TB (Farr 1918).....	7	71	2	..	1	1	3
Penelope, TB (1859).....	9	53	3	2	3	1
Penge, TB (Foster 1913).....	6	70	1	2	2	..	1	..
Perfecta, TB.....	2	50	2	..
Perfection, TB (Barr)	22	78	..	1	3	9	5	4
Perry's Favorite, TB (Perry 1911)....	2	80	1	..	1
Peter Barr, TB (Barr 1901).....	4	53	3	..	1
Petit Vitry, TB (Cayeux 1906).....	6	71	2	1	1	2
Petite Amie, TB (Millet 1919).....	2	68	1	..	1
Pfauenauge, TB (G. & K. 1906).....	19	58	2	2	4	3	2	6
Pharaon, TB (Lemon 1840).....	4	60	1	..	2	..	1
Phidias, TB (Lemon 1840).....	4	56	1	..	1	1	1
Phyllis Bliss, TB (Bliss 1919) (9.7)...	3	89	1	1	..	1
Plicata Sappho, TB.....	10	60	1	1	2	2	2	2
Plumeri, TB (1888).....	14	62	1	..	4	5	3	1
Pocahontas, TB (Farr 1915).....	12	77	4	1	2	5
Poitau, TB (Lemon 1848).....	7	61	2	4	1	..
Poreelain, TB (Foster 1909).....	9	71	1	3	1	1	2	1	..
Porsenna, TB (Yeld 19-).....	3	63	2	..	1	..
Powhatan, TB (Farr 1913).....	14	80	4	2	1	4	2	1	..
President Thiers, TB (1888).....	4	51	2	..	2
Prestige, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	4	71	1	1	1	1

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

50

pseudocomus

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Primier, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	3	65	1	..	2
Prince of Orange, TB (1873).....	7	56	1	2	3	1
Prince of Wales, TB (1859).....	5	58	1	3	..	1
Prince Victor, Int. (Caparne 1901)...	8	77	2	..	4	2
Princess Beatrice, TB (Barr).....	9	95	8	1
Princess Louise, DB (Perry 1903)....	2	73	1	1
Princess of Teck, TB.....	11	55	3	2	2	4
Princess of Wales, TB (albicans)....	15	65	..	1	1	1	1	8	2	1	..
Princess Royal, TB (Smith 19-).....	7	76	..	1	..	3	1	2
Princess Victoria Luise, (G. & K. 1910)	20	72	2	6	2	5	3	2	..
Prosper Langier, TB (Verdier 1914)...	23	83	..	6	5	8	3	1
pumila, DB (1790).....	2	67	1	..	1
pumila alba.....	4	66	1	3
pumila Atrovioacea, DB.....	7	74	1	3	1	1	..	1	..
pumila azurea, DB.....	7	81	..	1	1	3	1	1
Purple and Gold, TB (Sturt. 1918)...	3	70	1	..	1	1
Purple King, TB.....	17	74	1	6	1	7	2
Quaker Lady, TB (Farr 1909).....	22	84	..	6	6	9	..	1
Queen, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	60	1	..	1	..
Queen Alexandra, TB (Barr).....	18	75	4	3	4	5	1	1	..
Queen Caterina, TB (Sturt. 1918)....	14	90	5	3	6
Queen Elinor, TB (Hort. 1921).....	3	73	1	..	2
Queen Flavia, Int. (Caparne 1901)...	9	65	1	1	..	3	1	3	..
Queen Mary, TB (Perry 1903).....	3	57	2	1	..
Queen of May, TB (1859).....	22	74	7	5	7	3
Queen Victoria, TB (1859).....	2	55	1	1
Racemosa, TB (Ware 1884).....	4	48	3	1
Rachel Fox, TB (Jacobs 1920).....	4	75	..	1	2	..	1
Radiance, TB (Ware).....	2	60	2
Raffet, TB (Vilm. 1920).....	4	81	..	1	..	2	1
Rakan, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	5	65	3	1	1	..
Ramapo, TB (B & A 1917).....	2	65	1	1
Rangoon, TB (Sturt. 1919).....	3	62	3
R. C. Rose, TB (Fryer, 1917).....	8	53	4	..	2	2
Rebecca, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	60	1	..	1	..
Red Cloud, TB (Farr 1913).....	16	78	..	2	2	7	2	2	..	1	..
Redouteana, TB (lurida).....	4	58	1	..	1	2
Reinette, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	2	60	2
Rembrandt, TB (1902).....	7	59	1	1	2	3	..
Reticulata, TB (1830?).....	5	60	1	3	1	..
Reticulata alba, (TB).....	6	66	1	3	1	1	..
Rev. S H. Smith, TB (Fryer 1917)....	4	60	2	1	..	1
Rev. Wurtelle, TB (Fryer 1917).....	6	59	2	1	1	..	2
Reverie, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	87	..	1	2
Rhein Nixe, TB (G & K 1910).....	22	84	..	6	5	10	1
Rhoda, TB (Yeld).....	6	75	1	1	1	3
Ricardi, TB	5	74	..	1	1	1	1	1
Ricardi Fonce, TB (Denis 1916).....	7	78	..	2	2	1	1	1
Richard II, TB (Dykes 1914).....	10	74	..	1	1	3	1	..	4
Ringdove, TB (Foster 1913).....	15	76	..	1	2	5	2	3	2
Riva, TB (Col. by Foster).....	5	68	1	3	1
Robert Burns, TB (Barr 1885).....	3	47	1	1	1

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Rodney, TB (Bliss 1919).....	5	83	..	2	..	2	1
— Romany, TB (Bliss 1919).....	3	86	..	1	1	1
— Romeo, TB (Millet 1912).....	7	80	..	1	2	2	..	1	1
Rosalie, TB (Caparne 1901).....	2	75	1	1
Rosalind, TB (Bliss 1921).....	4	73	..	1	1	2
Roseway, TB (Bliss 1919).....	5	82	..	1	2	1	..	1
Rose Madder, TB (Sturt. 1920).....	3	85	..	1	2
— Rose Unique, TB (Farr 1910).....	20	69	1	4	2	4	7	2	..
— Royal, Int. (Caparne 1901).....	5	80	1	3	1
Ruberissima, TB (1887).....	10	54	1	1	3	2	3
Ruth Rand, TB (Fryer 1917).....	2	75	1	..	1
Sabrina, DB (Caparne 1901).....	2	77	1	1
Salar Jung, TB (1876).....	8	58	3	2	1	2
Sambo, DB (Perry 1903).....	2	65	1	1
— Sambucina, TB (1873).....	18	52	2	1	7	2	6
Samire, TB (Bliss 1920).....	2	75	..	1	1
Samson, TB (Lemon 1840).....	5	47	1	1	3
San Gabriel, TB (Dean 1921).....	2	90	..	2
San Souci, TB (1854).....	10	44	1	1	3	5
Sappho, TB (Lemon 1840).....	7	54	1	2	2	2
— Sarabande, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	10	76	2	4	1	2	1
Saracen, TB (Foster 1913).....	3	72	1	2
Sarah, Int. (Foster 1908).....	6	69	2	1	1	1	1	..
Sarpedon, TB (Yeld 1914).....	10	80	..	2	2	3	1	2
Saturn, TB.....	2	45	1	1
Savignian, TB (Millet 19—).....	4	63	1	2	1	..
Schneekuppe, DB (G. & K. 1910)....	14	74	..	1	1	3	5	2	2
Seminole, TB (Farr 1920).....	8	83	..	4	1	2	1
Shalimar, TB (1916).....	10	77	..	1	1	5	1	1	..	1	..
— Shekinah, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	14	88	..	9	4	1
Shelford Chieftain, TB (Foster, 1909)	11	71	3	2	4	1	1	..
Shelford Yellow, TB (Foster 1909)...	10	64	1	1	..	3	1	4	..
Sherbert, T. B. (Sturt. 1918).....	11	81	..	2	4	2	2	..	1
Sherwin-Wright, TB (Kohankie 1915)	22	76	..	2	2	5	3	3	3	3	1
— Shirin, MB (Foster 1913).....	4	79	1	2	..	1
Shrewsbury, TB (Farr 1916).....	10	75	..	1	1	3	1	2	2
Shushan, MB (Foster 1913).....	6	82	..	2	1	1	1	1
Sincerity, TB (Yeld 1911).....	3	50	3	..
— Sindjkha, TB (Sturt. 1918).....	13	83	..	1	7	3	1	1
Sivas, TB.....	6	68	1	..	2	3
Socrates, DB.....	9	73	..	1	..	4	2	..	1	..	1
Solfatare, Int.?	4	64	1	1	1	1	..
— Souv. de Mme. Gaudichan, TB (Millet 1914)	18	93	10	7	1
— Sparta, TB (1868).....	4	46	1	1	2
— Speciosa, TB (1830).....	10	63	2	1	2	2	1	2
(much confusion in nomenclature)											
— Speedwell, TB ? (Bliss 1919).....	3	77	2	..	1
— St. Clair, TB (1854) (Comte de).....	10	56	1	4	4	1
— Stamboul, TB (1916).....	12	81	..	3	2	2	1	1	1
— Standard Bearer, TB (Ware 1904).....	9	66	6	2	1	..
— Stanley H. White, TB (Sturt. 1919)...	4	83	..	1	2	1	2
— Statellae, DB (lutescens).....	9	75	1	2	3	3

The vote on novelties printed in light type is tentative, NOT FINAL.

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Stewart, DB (Caparne 1901).....	3	75	1	1	1
Sunshine, TB (Yeld 1919).....	3	80	1	1	..	1
Swatara, TB (Farr 1918).....	7	78	4	1	..	1	1
Sweet Lavender, TB (Bliss 1919)....	9	85	..	3	3	2	1
Swerti, TB (1612).....	10	43	1	2	2	5
Sybil, TB.....	10	64	1	1	2	1	2	1	2
Sylphide, TB (Lemon 1848).....	4	50	1	..	1	2
Sylvester, TB.....	3	57	1	1	1
Sympathy, TB (Ware 1904).....	3	63	1	1	1	..
Syphax, TB (Bliss 1917).....	7	75	..	2	2	2	1
Taffeta, TB (Cleveland 1920).....	3	60	1	1	1	..
Taj Mahal, TB (Sturt. 1921).....	3	83
(2 doubtful votes)			..	2	1
Tamar, TB (Bliss 1920).....	5	74	..	1	1	..	1	1	..	1	..
Tamerlan, TB (Vilm. 1904).....	13	74	3	4	1	2	2	1	..
Tartarin, TB (Bliss 1919).....	3	85	1	2
Tecumseh, TB (Farr 1910).....	9	52	1	2	1	1	4
Tendresse, TB (1854).....	11	64	1	1	1	2	4	1	1
The Dove, TB (Foster 1909).....	7	72	3	..	2	2
Theresita, TB (Lemon 1840).....	5	56	2	1	..	2
Thelma Perry, TB (Perry 1913).....	5	76	2	2	1
Thora, TB (G. & K. 1910).....	6	55	4	1	1
Thorbecke, TB (1897?).....	20	68	2	3	8	6	..	1
Tineae, TB (the extreme votes sug- gest confusion as to identity).....	16	75	..	1	1	7	2	2	2	1	..
Tintallion, TB (Sturt. 1921).....	3	73	1	1	1
Tom Cook, TB.....	2	40	2
Tom Tit, TB (Bliss 1919).....	8	80	..	1	1	3	3
Topaz, TB (Lemon 1848).....	3	57	2	1	..
Towaco, TB (B & A 1917).....	3	60	3
Trantlieb, TB (G. & K. 1899).....	18	63	1	..	2	5	5	5	..
Tregastel, TB (Millet 19—).....	3	75	1	1	1
Trinidad, TB (Denis 1911).....	2	75	1	1
Tristram, TB (Bliss 1919).....	2	82	2
trojana, TB (Kerner).....	13	81	..	1	3	7	1	1
Troost, TB (Denis 1908).....	8	84	..	1	5	1	1
Trosuperba, TB (trojana).....	5	78	3	2
Tunisie, TB (Millet).....	5	74	2	1	2
Ulysses, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	45	2
Unique, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	55	1	1	..
Ute Chief, TB (Farr 1920).....	6	83	..	1	3	..	2
Valery Mayet, TB (Denis 1912) (Deuil de)	3	85	2	1
Valkyrie, TB (Sturt. 1919).....	2	88	..	1	1
Van Geertl, TB (Lemon 1858).....	6	63	1	1	3	1	..
Venusta, TB (Salter 1868).....	3	55	1	1	1
Verbena, TB (Yeld 19—).....	5	55	2	3	..
Vesuve, TB (Lemon 1858).....	4	67	1	1	2
Victorine, TB (Lemon 1840).....	20	65	1	4	8	4	3
Victory, TB (1859).....	4	60	1	1	2	..
Vieil Or, TB (Denis 1919).....	2	75	1	..	1
Villereal, TB (Millet 1910).....	2	67	1	..	1

Versicolor

	V	Av	9.5	9	8.5	8	7.5	7	6	5	-5
Viola, TB (Foster 1913).....	10	75	2	3	..	4	1
— Violacea Grandiflora, TB (1860).....	15	80	2	4	3	5
Violet Queen, TB.....	6	67	1	2	..	3	..
Virgile, TB (Lemon 1840).....	2	55	1	1	..
Virginia Moore, TB (Shull 1921).....	10	81	3	6	1
Virginie, TB (Lemon 1858).....	4	57	1	2	..	1
Vondel, TB.....	5	51	1	..	1	..	3
Wagner, TB (1912).....	5	42	2	1	2
Walhalla, Int. (G & K 1908).....	19	73	1	4	4	8	2
Walneri, TB (1855).....	5	60	1	1	1	1	1
Walneriana, TB (Lemon 1840).....	8	61	1	..	1	4	1	1
Walter Reuthe, TB (Ware 1906).....	5	58	1	2	2	..
Walter Scott, TB (Lemon 1858).....	3	60	1	1	1	..
Wanaque, TB (B & A 1917).....	4	70	2	2
Wawayanda, TB (B & A 1917).....	2	75	1	..	1	2
Weequahic, TB (B & A 1917).....	3	63	1	2
Whiffenpoof, TB (Sturt. 1917).....	6	63	1	..	1	3	..	1
White Knight, TB (Saunders 1916).....	17	83	..	5	4	3	4	1
Wild Rose, TB (Sturt. 1921).....	2	81	2
William Marshall, TB (Perry 1913).....	3	78	1	1	..	1
— Willie Barr, TB (Barr 1876).....	3	57	2	1	..
Windham, TB (Farr 1909).....	18	73	3	6	2	4	1	1	1
Wyoming, TB (B & A 1917).....	2	65	1	1
— Wyomissing, TB (Farr 1909).....	21	72	6	2	2	6	3	1	1
— W. F. Christman, TB (Fryer 1917).....	4	71	1	2	1
W. J. Fryer, TB (Fryer 1917).....	7	84	..	3	2	1	..	1
Yountakah, TB (B & A 1917).....	3	67	1	..	2
Yvonne Pelletier, TB (Millet 1916).....	2	75	1	..	1
Zanzibar, DB (Sturt. 1918).....	2	68	1	1
— Zephyr, TB (cengialti).....	8	69	1	..	1	2	4
Zua, TB (Crawford 1914).....	18	75	..	1	3	5	3	3	2	1	..
— Zwanenburg, MB (Denis 1909).....	4	85	..	1	2	1

Tall Bearded Irises

Varieties arranged in order of Merit

Number of votes given in (). No varieties receiving less than 3 votes included.

Grade. Standard Varieties	Varieties Introduced Since 1916.
9.6	Lent A. Williamson, (19)
9.5 Princess Beatrice, (9)	
9.4	Ambassadeur, (11) Ballerine, (5) Dominion, (12)
9.3	Souvenir de Mme. Gaudichau, (18)
9.1 Lord of June, (20)	Avalon, (6) Magnifica, (4) Leverrier, (7) Queen Caterina
8.9 Alcazar, (23) Caterina, (19)	Phyllis Bliss, (3)
8.8 pallida Dalmatica, (21)	B. Y. Morrison, (17) Georgia, (4) Halo, (4) Hermione, (3) Shehinah, (14)
8.7 Crusader, (19) cypriana, (7) Opera, (15)	Dusk, (3) Marshmarigold, (4) Reverie, (3)
8.6 Edouard Michel, (21) Isoline, (23) Mme. Claude Monet, (5) Mme. Durrand, (5)	Afterglow, (20) Anne Page, (6) Cluny, (6)
8.5 Lady Foster, (17) Valery Mayet, (3)	Dream, (12) Grevin, (4) Medrano, (9) Merlin, (10) Mme. Chobaut, (4) Moa, (3) Rose Madder, (3) Sweet Lavender, (9) Tartarin, (3) Arsace, (8) Cretonne, (4) W. J. Fryer, (7)
8.4 Anna Farr, (17) Kashmir White, (12) Monsignor, (22) Quaker Lady, (22) Rhein Nixe, (22) Troost, (8)	
8.3 Ambigu, (11)	Anne Leslie, (17)

Grade. Standard Varieties

Archeveque, (22)
Corrida, (10)
Dejazet, (11)
Ivanhoe, (3)
La Neige, (17)
Mercedes, (8)
Montezuma, (12)
Prosper Laugier, (22)
White Knight, (17)

8.2 Delicatissima, (13)
Lohengrin, (23)

8.1 Carthusian, (15)
Juniata, (22)
Ma Mie, (20)
mesopotamica, (7)
Mme. Louesse, (4)
Neptune, (8)
Parc de Neuilly, (19)
Stamboul, (12)
trojana, (13)

8.0 Col. Candelot, (12)
Dalila, (16)
Fairy, (22)
Jacquesiana, (23)
Nine Wells, (15)
Romeo, (7)
Sarpedeon, (10)
Sunshine, (3)
Violacea Grandiflora, (15)

7.9 Albert Victor, (21)
Emir, (4)
Iris King, (23)
Loreley, (23)
Mady Carriere, (6)
Ochracea, (3)
Oporto, (4)
Parisiana, (19)

7.8 Arnolds, (20)
Dawn, (14)
Eldorado, (22)
Jeanne d'Arc, (19)
King George V., (2)
Kochi, (22)
Mary Garden, (18)
Minniehaha, (13)
Mme. de Sevigne, (8)

Varieties Introduced Since 1916.

Benbow, (7)
Dimity, (10)
Du Guesclin, (4)
Mme. Cheri, (8)
Rodney, (5)
Seminole, (8)
Sindjkhat, (13)
Stanley H. White, (4)
Taj Mahal, (3)
Ute Chief, (6)

Azure, (10)
Drake, (5)
Morwell, (6)
Nancy Orne, (5)
Onnoris, (3)
Roseway, (5)

Blue Lagoon, (3)
Dora Longdon, (13)
Kathryn Fryer, (7)
Mlle. Schwartz, (12)
Raffet, (4)
Sherbert, (11)
Virginia Moore, (10)

Baronet, (3)
Tom Tit, (8)

Barton Harrington, (6)
Dorman, (5)
Laura E. Sturtevant, (4)
Mrs. Fryer, (8)

Clematis, (9)
Fantasy, (3)
Katrinka, (4)
Kynsna, (10)
Madison Cooper, (3)
Magnate, (5)
Mary Williamson, (7)
Mrs. Cowley, (3)
Swatara, (7)

Grade. Standard Varieties

Varieties Introduced Since 1916.

Odoratissima, (8)
 Oriflamme, (21)
 Perfection, (22)
 Red Cloud, (16)
 Ricardi fonce, (7)
 William Marshall, (3)

7.7 Black Prince, (18)
 Major, (4)
 Mme. Bouillet, (9)
 Pocahontas, (12)
 Shalimar, (10)

Cordon Bleu, (8)
 Nirvana, (5)

7.6 Copperman, (4)
 E. L. Crandall, (14)
 Florentina, (23)
 Gloire de Hillegom, (7)
 Mauvine, (6)
 Miss Willmott, (12)
 Mrs. Alan Gray, (22)
 Nuee d'Orange, (19)
 Princess Royal, (7)
 Ringdove, (15)
 Sherwin-Wright, (22)
 Thelma Perry, (5)

Sarabande, (10)

7.5 Armenian, (5)
 Atlas, (5)
 Caprice, (17)
 Dalmarius, (20)
 Fro, (18)
 Gold Crest, (11)
 La Beaute, (3)
 Mithras, (15)
 Pauline, (15)
 Queen Alexandra, (22)
 Rhoda, (6)
 Shrewsbury, (10)
 Tineae, (16)
 Tregastel, (3)
 Viola, (10)

Dr. Andrist, (7)
 Empire, (10)
 Fenella, (3)
 Fryer's Glory, (4)
 Mrs. Christman, (4)
 Rachel Fox, (4)
 Syphax, (7)
 Zua, (18)

7.1 Aurea, (22)
 Aurora, (7)
 Dr. Bernice, (21)
 germanica major, (5)
 Hautefeuille, (6)
 Hiawatha, (12)
 Lewis Trowbridge, (12)
 Massasoit, (5)
 Mme. Chereau, (21)
 Navajo, (12)
 Purple King, (17)
 Queen of May, (22)
 Ricardi, (5)
 Richard II, (10)

Bluet, (6)
 Naushon, (4)
 Tamar, (5)

Grade. Standard Varieties

Varieties Introduced Since 1916.

- Tamerlan, (13)
Tunisic, (5)
- 7.3 Albicans, (9)
Clio, (9)
Her Majesty, (23)
Junonia, (8)
Leonidas, (14)
Mandaliscae, (17)
Mary Gray, (13)
Nibelungen, (20)
Pancroft, (8)
Windham, (18)
- 7.2 Marvar, (3)
Moor, (3)
Oriental, (12)
Our King, (3)
Princess Victoria Luise, (20)
Saracen, (3)
Wyomissing, (21)
- 7.1 Blue Jay, (18)
Celeste, (21)
Cordelia, (18)
Delagrange, (5)
Fontarabie, (10)
germanica, (11)
germanica alba, (9)
Goliath, (8)
Innocenza, (21)
Petit Vitry, (6)
Porcelain, (9)
Shelford Chieftain, (11)
- 7.0 Donna Nook, (3)
Flavescens, (23)
Hebe, (6)
James Boyd, (9)
Mrs. Kingscote, (4)
Penge, (6)
- 6.5 to 6.9. inclusive.
Apollo, (5)
Atrocoerulea, (3)
Australis, (4)
Aymard, M., (7)
Barbara, (4)
Belcolor, (4)
Bergi, (4)
Bianca, (4)
Brionense, (10)
Buriensis, (6)
Cameleon, (9)
Candelabre, (7)
cengialti, (13)
Chester J. Hunt, (13)
- Baski-bazouk, (6)
Gules, (6)
Hilda, (3)
Mareschal, (3)
Mrs. A. M. Brand, (3)
Queen Elinor, (3)
Rosalind, (4)
Tintallion, (3)
- Mme. Denis, (3)
- Gov. Hughes, (3)
Mandalay, (5)
Margaret Moor, (7)
Paxatawny, (7)
Prestige, (4)
W. F. Christman, (4)
- Elinor, (8)
Francina, (4)
Mrs. J. S. Brand, (3)
Myth, (4)
Purple & Gold, (3)
Wanaque, (4)
- Acquahanock, (3)
A. M. Brand, (4)
Abrabesque, (5)
Arethusa, (3)
Clarence Wedge, (11)
Demure, (6)
Grace Kimball, (6)
M. G. Peters, (7)
Mrs. Andrist, (5)
Mrs. Christman, (4)
Mrs. Kimball, (7)
Mrs. Sanford, (5)
Palaurea, (3)
Rakan, (5)
Waywayanda, (4)

Grade. Standard Varieties

Cottage Maid, (12)
 Darius, (19)
 Demi-Deuil, (11)
 Erich, (9)
 Esmeralda, (4)
 Foster's Yellow, (17)
 Gagus, (19)
 Gertrude, (10)
 Gloriette, (5)
 Glory of Reading, (11)
 Igouf, (3)
 Iroquis, (12)
 Isola, (9)
 Khedive, (3)
 Laverter, (3)
 Lord Grey, (16)
 Loute, (11)
 Mary Minnanelle, (9)

Grade. Standard varieties Cont.

Mikado, (9)
 Mme. Blanche Pion, (13)
 Mrs. H. Darwin, (68), (22)
 Mrs. Neubronner, (16)
 Mrs. Reuthe, (16)
 Othello, (20)
 Palissy, (3)
 Patience, (13)
 Prince of Wales, (15)
 Reticulata alba, (6)
 Riva, (5)
 Rose Unique, (20)
 Standard Bearer, (9)
 Thorbecke, (20)
 Vesuve, (4)
 Victorine, (20)
 Violet Queen, (6)

The Relative Value of Varieties of Similar Coloring

R. S. Sturtevant

Without an accepted classification of Irises based on well defined color distinctions, an arrangement of varieties according to their merit in color classes is purely tentative and in certain cases wholly personal. Please, therefore, consider these as notes only, not as an authoritative listing of the best irises in their various hues.

Unless a variety has received five or more votes it has been excluded from the following lists and in each case I give the rating of the variety immediately after the name.

The first classes, viz. **White**, **Yellow Selfs**, **White** or nearly white **Standards** and purple falls, and **Yellow Standards** and dark falls, may be safely considered to be definite groups in whatever classification that may be adopted. The Purple Selfs would also fall into this category if it were not that they are very numerous and vary so greatly in hue and tone as to become unwieldy in number unless placed in subsidiary groups. To divide the bicolors in purple and in all variations of blended, or shot shades, into small groups is too difficult for me.

White—Kashmir White (8.4), La Neige and White Knight (8.3), Miss Willmo't (7.6), Albicans (7.3), Innocenza (7.1) and our old friend Mrs. H. Darwin only 6.8. This seems an extremely curious result. Kashmir and Miss Willmott have been reported as poor growers by many of our members, with the exception of these two, Innocenza has the greatest height of them all, and yet consider the respective ratings. Clearly we need new whites of height and size and vigor combined. Miss Sturtevant's Taj Mahal may prove to be one of these, but has not yet been sufficiently grown in various localities, and I for one, feel sure that it does not rank with Gaudichau, or Halo, aside from its color, or lack thereof.

Yellow Self—Shekinah (8.8), Virginia Moore (8.1), Sherwin-Wright (7.6), Empire (7.5), Aurea (7.4), Flavescens (7.0). Here again we find plenty of room at the top. I have seen a trace of venation that dulls the color in all except Shekinah and Aurea under certain atmospheric conditions while Flavescens is of a sulphur tone that may be easily improved upon, and Dawn (7.8) is very close to cream white.

Amoena—White Standards, or nearly so, and dark falls. Rhein Nixe (8.4), Troost (8.4), Anne Leslie (8.3), Mary Williamson (7.8), and Victorine and Thorbeck the typical amoenas only 6.5. Consider how few there are in this class, that Anne Leslie is the only one of a red-purple tone and Troost the only well-rated one that has that velvety quality to the fall which emphasizes the contrast of light and dark which is their chief value in the garden. None show the best of height and size however good they may be according to our present standards. In some localities I understand that the standards of bicolors such as Black Prince, B. Y. Morrison, and possibly Dominion, fade to almost white and show this desirable quality of strong contrast.

Variegata—Yellow Standards and dark falls, Loreley (7.9), Fro (7.5), Mithras (7.5), and Princess Victoria Luise (7.2). Kathryn Fryer (8.1), the color of which I do not remember may belong in this class, and Marsh Marigold (8.7), and Stanley H. White (8.3), both received four votes each. I wonder! Is it that we all dislike the bold contrast of clear yellow and red or purple tones, or rather is it that we have

in mind a variegata that will be comparable to Lent A. Williamson?

Purple Selfe—To grade varieties so different in effect as Princess Beatrice, Avalon, Queen Caterina, Halo, Anne Page, and Dream is an impossibility. It is a matter of personal taste in color, or form, that will decide the question. This class is entirely too full of good, better, and best varieties, and every breeder should think at least twice before adding to it.

Much the same might be said of the big class which may be called the purple bi-colors. There are a number of the novelties that I have not seen, but Lent A. Williamson (9.6), Dominion (9.4), Mme. de Gaudichau (9.3), B. Y. Morrison (8.8), Mme. Claude Monet (8.6), and Mon-signor (8.4), would, I assume be in the same class. They are at any rate of rich deep color and velvety as to the falls. Similar but of redder tones, are Opera (8.7), Moa (8.5), Ambigu and Archeveque (8.3), while Alcazar (8.9), Merlin (8.5), and W. J. Fryer (8.4), are equally deep in color, but not velvety and might perhaps be better classed with a bronze. W. J. Fryer, by the way I speak of only from description.

In the plicata group as we have always known it, no varieties stand out preeminently. Mme. Chobaut with only four votes heads the list at 8.5, with Anna Farr, Mercedes, Dimity, Onnoris, Ma Mie, and Fairy are rated above 8.0. To those of you who know these varieties, it will be readily seen that they are sufficiently distinct to make any selection at all valuable, and to add half a dozen of the next finest merely adds to the confusion, for Jeanne d'Arc, Mary Garden, Mme. de Sevigne, Parisiana, and Pocahontas are equally distinct one from another.

Now for a few odds and ends of comparison, in the case of some of the novelties a hearsay comparison in a way. For example, Caterina, Queen Caterina, Hermione, Arsace and Anne Page seem to be much of the same type. Lord of June, Halo, possibly Magnifica, and Neptune are pretty close regardless of their rating. Ambassadeur as I have seen it pictured is of similar coloring to Dejazet, Mme. Cheri, Nancy Orne, and Quaker Lady, or even Queen Alexandra, tho these last two are of very different habit and substance.

Mr. Bliss reports that Lent A. Williamson, Dominion, Ambassadeur, and Valkyrie have many characters (not color mind you), in common. And, as would be expected, Moa and others of his Dominion Race are of this type.

When we have achieved a classification with sufficiently accurate definition between the varying colors and their application to the iris flower, we will place the varieties more suitably, but I hope that even these individual notes will prove a bit of a help in your selection of garden varieties.

This symposium is going to prove a profitable basis for further investigation. One wonders whether American, or English, or French breeders will rank the highest in the varieties they have introduced in the last decade, and toward what goal our hybridists may strive to best advantage. Is it not noteworthy to find that few, if any, of our finest varieties date back for more than fifteen years, and to speculate what will develop in the next fifteen? Surely we have not reached the zenith in even a single color or variety.

Intermediate Irises

List arranged in order of Merit.

From a study of the following list two facts are to be noted, firstly that few varieties are widely distributed, and secondly that apparently all the jurors compared these so-called Intermediates with Tall Bearded varieties of similar coloring at least, whereas with most of us the blooming season alone enhances their comparative value. Personally I should add 1.0 to all these ratings.

With hardly an exception these varieties have been introduced from but two sources, W. J. Caparne in 1901, or thereabouts, and Goos & Koenemann in 1910. We have certain verbal confirmation as to the separate origin of these varieties, but they are of such similar growth and character that there is considerable doubt in my mind as to the breeder of the later introductions. In habit certain of them are very close to the finest of the *pumila* hybrids, so-called, for example both (The) Bride and John Foster might well be placed in either group and similarly others approach in appearance what we recognize as *cengiali* or *germanica* types. It is of interest also to note that the list includes none of what we generally term *variegata*, *amoena*, or *plicata* in their strict interpretation.

The parentage of this group is not well-understood. Mr. Caparne used late blooming varieties by forcing them, but he used also *onocyclus* and *regelia* species as well as many of the dwarfs. On the other hand Goos & Koenemann assert that they are second and third generation hybrids between the early dwarfs and the tall and late varieties. In my limited experience we have secured nothing similar from crossing selected *pumila* hybrids with intermediates or late flowering varieties. The number and shades of yellow selfs also are quite different from the later yellows which have been pretty rare and usually of a deeper tone. All this makes this group of unusual value to the hybridist, crossing is most uncertain to be sure, but the field offers unique opportunities for really distinctive developments.

8.0 Royal (5), Empress (8).

7.5 to 7.9 inclusive. Dorothea (18), Ingeborg (18), Prince Victor (8).

7.0 to 7.4 inclusive. Diamond (5), Dolphin (6), Don Carlos (3), Etta (10), Fritjof (15), Halfdan (17), Ivorine (14), John Foster (6), Mars (7), Walhalla (19).

Practically all other varieties are rated between 6.0 and 7.0.

Dwarf Bearded Irises

Arranged in order of Merit

We find among the Dwarf, Bearded Irises which include hybrids of *pumila*, *aphylla*, *chamaeiris*, and others, few varieties that are at all well-distributed and practically none that seem to have been rated by the jurors without comparison with later and taller varieties. In other words the scoring runs low, so low in fact that we list below all that are rated higher than 6.5. It would be well to note that many of these named varieties have been previously listed as *Balceng*, *pumila hybrida*, *pumila nova*, *Biflora*, etc., names which for the most part have no botanical standing. For example we have the name *aurea* used with *pumila*, *chamaeiris*, *hybrida*, *lutea*, *nova*, etc., sometimes interchangeably, and the result is chaos. Just what the garden status of these types may become is doubtful, that they do give splendid masses of color is true, but I doubt very much if many of us differentiate sufficiently between the different varieties of similar tone to make the growing of them under many separate names worth while.

8.4 *Corulea*, (12) *azurea*, *cyanea*, probably practically synonymous.

7.5 to 8.0 inclusive,

David, (2)

Eclipse, (3)

Sabrina, (2)

Statellae, *lutescens*, (9)

7.0 to 7.4 inclusive.

Barbara Perry, (2)

Ditton Purple, (2)

Eburna, (6)

Excelsa, (7)

Fieberi, (3)

Grandee, (4)

Josephine, (4)

Leopold, (4)

Meteor, (3)

Milton, (2)

Miss Owen, (3)

Obelisque, (2)

Princess Louise, (2)

pumila Atrovioleacea, (7)

Schneekuppe, (14)

6.5 to 6.9 inclusive.

Bluestone, (6)

chamaeiris, (9)

Charmer, (3)

Citrea, (5)

Compacta, (3)

Cyanea, (13)

Floribunda, (6)

Florida, (5)

Haydn, (2)

Lutea, (6)

Niobe, (4)

Nova Aurea, (5)

Nova Candida, (4)

nudicaulis purpurea, (3)

pumila, (2)

Benacensis, (5.9) *Harlequin*, (4.9) *Orange Queen*, (6.4) were the only others to receive 9 votes, or over, in fact judging from the number of votes cast, *Coerulea*, *Statellae*, *Eburna*, *Excelsa*, *pumila Atrovioleacea*, *chamaeiris*, *cyanea*, *Floribunda*, and *Lutea* are the only ones to be considered.

Miscellaneous Bearded Irises

This group includes hybrids between the Bearded and Regelia or Oncocyclus sections. Altho at present many of these are poor growers, in most gardens, we can confidently look forward to a great increase in vigor among the introductions of the next few years.

To an extent the votes seemed to have ignored the difficulty of growing these irises and considered chiefly their unique coloring and venation. Altho there are no reports on Anemopsis, Ferakan, Minerva, Mme. Steinchen, Semele, Shiraz, Sir Dighton Probyn, and Vulcan, or the newer Maud and John Tribolet, all of this group are presumably worth trying to grow.

- 9.0 Nazarin, (2) Sir Trevor Lawrence, (2).
- 8.5 Cherokee Maid, (6) Swanenberg, (4).
- 8.0 Lady Lilford, (7) Shushan, (6).
- 7.5 Dilkush, (8) Giran, (4) Hamadan, (7) Parvar, (12) Shirin, (4).
- 7.0 Dorak, (5) Paracina, (9) 6.8 Ismali, (3).

Why a Symposium?

R. S. Sturtevant

I so rarely meet a gardener who, altho he may grow peonies, has any appreciation of the value of the Peony Symposium, that I think a word as to what the Iris Symposium may do for you seems not out of place.

Ordinarily I like to tell anyone to go to a nursery and select the blooming plants, but now, unless you can visit a specialist who grows many varieties, I will say, make your selection from the Symposium published by the American Iris Society. In other words few, if any of the general nurserymen, carry the fine varieties of Iris except for some of the old standard whites and yellows and lavenders, simple colorings that will make splendid mass effects. In even the finest collections many varieties may not be in good condition the day of your visit, and comparison among many varieties is not an easy task. Therefore take your symposium in one hand, a good catalog in the other and check therein all the varieties rated at above 7.5, for example, and finally if the cost is not too high, make your purchases within this restricted list. Your considered purchase will give far more permanent satisfaction than even a hasty selection of the growing plants. One of the most trying acts when gardening is the eternal throwing out of the poorer things and the lack of courage to do this ruins many a garden. Don't waste your money on things that are considered not worth growing, just try to be impartial and severe in your judgment of varieties, especially when recommending them. The continual recommendations in print of inferior varieties is a personal reflection upon the knowledge of the author.

In our work on the history of the Bearded Irises we dug out some 2500 names, of these some 1300 are published in the Check List, as presumably, still in the American trade. This symposium which included practically all of the older varieties known to two or more jurors, cuts this number down to about 750, and of all these hardly a hundred

are rated at above 80%. By making use of this work you are reducing your chances of making a poor selection at least 90%; that is what the symposium should mean to every grower of an iris.

Unique coloring is the one excuse for keeping a variety of low rating.

For the amateur, varieties rated at above 80% are clearly safe to buy, those rated at between 65% and 80% might be considered worthy of a place in the garden, but are either already surpassed, or likely to be surpassed in the near future, by a novelty of similar coloring.

Upon the breeder rests the real responsibility, keeping in mind the comparative importance of unique coloration, he should distribute nothing that is not clearly an improvement, a big improvement, on the most similar existing variety.

Upon the commercial grower rests the burden of discarding old varieties. We cannot expect him to immediately junk stock that represents an investment of long standing, but we can expect that he will add only good things each year and will throw out the poor ones as practical conditions permit. There will always be a body of amateurs who want flowers regardless of their relative value and there will always be a group of collectors to whom the number of varieties means much. This demand must be supplied, but to append symposium ratings to all varieties listed will do much to the mutual advantage of both buyer and seller.

In the final analysis both the price and the intrinsic merit of any variety listed, depends upon the demand by the amateur grower, no commercial grower can afford to carry unsalable stock at any price.

Our Society, in that it is educational along iris lines, was organized not to develop iris as a cult, but as an attempt to give all who grow iris a sound basis for the selection of varieties that would prove real additions to their gardens, and those of you who disregard our work as a Society are losing much of their money's worth as members.

We have over 800 varieties of Irises growing in our Garden, and offer over 700 varieties for sale at from 25c to \$40.00 each.

May we send you our 1922 price list?

VAN WERT IRIS GARDENS

VAN WERT,

LEE R. BONNEWITZ, Prop.

OHIO

PUYALLUP IRIS GARDENS

In the glorious Puget Sound country in Northwestern Washington all varieties of

Irises Grow to Perfection

My new 40 page Iris catalog describes in detail upwards of 200 of the best garden Iris including the best of BLISS, HORT, FOSTER, VILMORIN, DENIS, VELD, DYKES and others. It contains a valuable treatise on Iris Varieties for the garden by Bliss, an article on Iris Culture etc. If interested, send for a copy.

O. M. PUDOR, A Lover of the Iris.

PUYALLUP,

WASHINGTON

MOVILLA IRISES

are carefully cultivated and correctly labeled. Inferior varieties have been discarded. All new and desirable introductions (American and European) are included.

Highest Awards by American Iris Society

Permanent embossed metal labels are furnished free with every variety.

Send 30c for a descriptive catalog, or send postal card for free price list.

MOVILLA GARDENS

James Boyd John C. Wister
Haverford, Pa.

Surplus Dahlia Tubers

for sale from a private collection of over 250 varieties, mostly novelties; one dozen, all labeled, my selection, \$4.50; a small lot from which the labels have become detached, \$1.50 per dozen.

Box 419

Bellport, L. I., N. Y.

Our IRIS stock has not been injured by the unusual cold, only the flowering season retarded. New Price List will be issued about July 1st.

The Dean Iris Gardens

Moneta,

California

PEONIES and IRISES

If you wish to see some of the finest varieties in bloom this season, let us know when to meet you at the station.

TREASURE OAK NURSERY

May's Landing, New Jersey

IRIS-

PEONIES -

PHLOX

George N. Smith

Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts

SUNNYBROOK IRIS GARDEN

Mrs. Frances E. Cleveland

Specialist in the finest varieties of BEARDED, CRESTED, SIBERIAN, SPURIA, and JAPANESE Irises.

Send for 1922 Catalog
Eatontown, New Jersey

PEONIES and IRISES

Choicest Varieties

Peterson Nursery

30 N. La Salle St.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

The Best Peony Book

Yet Published

Unvarnished Facts

Send 50c and if not worth \$1.00 I will send back the 50c and you may keep the book and also deduct 50c from your first order amounting to \$5.00.

Henry S. Cooper, Peony Fan
KENOSHA, WIS.

IRIS, GLADIOLUS PRIMULUS, PEONIES
and HARDY PERENNIALS

Chatauqua Flowerfield

C. Zeestraten, Prop.
R. F. D. 68, Bemus Point, N. Y.

IRISES and PEONIES

The Best of the Old and the New
Healthy stock, true to name

TREASURE GARDENS
A. C. and M. K. Army
St. Paul, Minnesota

BERTRAND H. FARR

Wyomissing Nurseries Co.

Offer an unusually rich collection of Peonies and Irises. All the newest and rarest varieties. Beautifully illustrated catalog Free to Members on request.

B. H. FARR
120 Garfield Ave., Wyomissing, Pa.

Fifty Varieties of Irises

and hundreds of other

HARDY PERENNIALS

ORCHADOTTE NURSERIES

Box 1, West Point, Montg. Co., Pa.

WORLD'S 4 BEST

will be sent to members prepaid
Ambassadeur \$8.00
Ballerine 8.00
Magnifica 8.00
Souv. de Mme. Gaudichau ... 8.00
For \$25.00

July Delivery—Stock Limited

TREASURE OAK NURSERY
Mays Landing, New Jersey

IRISES

NEW - RARE - STANDARD

If you desire the new, especially fine things like;

AFTERGLOW
AMBASSADEUR
CLUNY
DIMITY
LENT A. WILLIAMSON
LORD of JUNE
MAGNIFICA
OPERA
QUEEN CATERINA
SHEKINAH
SOUV. MME. GAUDICHAU
SWEET LAVENDER

we grow them; also a carefully pruned list of meritorious standard sorts at nominal prices.

Our comprehensive price list awaits your request.

Clarence W. Hubbard
GROWER OF
PEONIES & IRISES

6144 LAKEWOOD AVE.

CHICAGO

IRIS NOVELTIES

New Introductions for 1922, but the stock is very limited.

I wish to endorse thoroly the Symposium, I am glad that the varieties for which I am responsible should have averaged so highly; with hardly an exception I consider the ratings a safe guide to prospective purchasers. I regret that it was impossible to include the ratings in my 1922 catalog.

GRACE STURTEVANT
The Glen Road Iris Gardens
Wellesley Farms, Massachusetts

NEW, RARE and ODD PEONIES

always on hand which you can obtain nowhere else.

Also a special list of the best Iris.

A. M. BRAND, Peony Hybridizer
Brand Peony Farms
FARIBAULT, MINN.

OFFERED

Duplicates and surplus of many fine Irises from a rapidly increasing private collection.

Wanted,—rare varieties of Iris, either wild or garden types, and other desirable plants.

S. Stillman Berry
745 Highland Ave., Redlands, Cal.

